

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,948 06/08/2001		5/08/2001	Thomas Jackson	3672-0111P	3940	
2292	7590	09/03/2004	•	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	WART K	COLASCH & BIF	FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1637		

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	٠
6	_
3	
«	-
0	ก
- \	7
•	

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/763,948	JACKSON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeffrey Fredman	1637

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	d
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	10
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fe have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee un 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) \( \subseteq \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	ıe
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: 9,21 and 25.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8, 10-20, 22-24</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2280	
10. Other:	
Jeffrey Fredman	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

**Advisory Action** 

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1637

Part of Paper No. 20040831

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant apparently reads more into the term "semiconductor" than the word means. A semiconductor is a material that conducts more than an insulator but less than a conductor. So any of the compounds of Sato may be semiconductors, depending upon what is deemed to be the insulator at issue or the conductor at issue. The term is relative and has no specific value..